

SHIMURA CURVES III

KAY RÜLLING

Introduction. These are the notes of a talk I gave in the Arithmetic Geometry Seminar at the University of Essen on 10th of July 2008. The subject is the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism for quaternionic automorphic forms after Saito (see [Sa06]). We also used the lecture notes of van den Bogaart [Bo05] on the same subject as well as the article [BaNe81].

The aim of these notes is to define quaternionic automorphic forms attached to a quaternion algebra B , to interpret them as global sections of a certain locally free sheaf on the Shimura curve $M(\mathbb{C})$ defined by B and to show that these sections together with their complex conjugates form the Hodge decomposition of a certain local system on $M(\mathbb{C})$. For the definition of $M(\mathbb{C})$ see Stefan's talk [Ku08], for the use of the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism see Garbor's talk [Wi08].

I am anything but a specialist in the field, therefore the reader should be aware of mistakes or wrong statements I might give, which (if there are any) are of course entirely due to me.

Quaternionic Automorphic Forms. For the rest of this notes we fix the following notations.

- F/\mathbb{Q} is a totally real number field, $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = n$, $I = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \mathbb{R})$. We view $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ via τ_1 , which is fixed. If n is even we fix a finite place v of F .
- \mathbb{A}_f are the finite adèles of \mathbb{Q} .
- B is a quaternion algebra, which ramifies exactly at $\{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, v\}$ (i.e. $B \otimes_F F_w$ is a division algebra for $w \in \{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, v\}$). This property determines B uniquely up to isomorphism.
- Let $G := \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} B^\times$ be the Weil restriction of the algebraic group B^\times to \mathbb{Q} , in particular $G(A) = (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A)^\times$, for A a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. We have

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{H}^\times)^{n-1}$$

and

$$G(\mathbb{R})_+ = \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{R})_+ \times (\mathbb{H}^\times)^{n-1}, \quad G(\mathbb{Q})_+ = G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap G(\mathbb{R})_+.$$

- $\mathbb{S} = \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_{m\mathbb{C}})$.
-

$$h : \mathbb{S}(R) = \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(R), \quad z = x + iy \mapsto h(z) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}, 1, \dots, 1 \right).$$

Denote $X = \{gh(-)g^{-1} | g \in G(\mathbb{R})\}$. Then (see Stefan)

$$X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad gh(-)g^{-1} \mapsto gi = \frac{ai + b}{ci + d},$$

with $g = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, a_2 \dots a_n \right) \in G(\mathbb{R})$.

- Let X^+ be the connected component of h , it is isomorphic to the Poincaré upper half plane.
- Let $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n, w)$ be a multi weight with $w \geq k_j \geq 2$, $k_j \equiv w \pmod{2}$.

$$m := \prod_{j=1}^n (k_j - 1).$$

Set

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}, \quad e_0 := (1, 0), e_1 := (0, 1) \in V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$$

and

$$W_{j,\mathbb{C}} := \text{Sym}^{k_j-2}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}), \quad j = 2, \dots, n, \quad W' := W_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_n.$$

Notice that $\{e_0^{r_2} e_1^{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_0^{r_n} e_1^{s_n} \mid r_j + s_j = k_j - 2, 2 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis for $W'_{\mathbb{C}}$. (The choice of the strange notation will become apparent later. Finally it is done in such a way, that it harmonizes with Saito's definitions whose choice is dictated by the wish to obtain a Hodge theoretic description of the quaternionic automorphic forms we are going to define, with conventions as in [De79] and not as in [De71].)

Definition 1. (i) A map $f : X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$, $r \geq 1$, is *holomorphic* if $f(-, g) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ is holomorphic for each fixed $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ and the map $G(\mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Hol}(X, \mathbb{C}^r)$ is locally constant.

(ii) We define an action of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ on

$$\text{Hol}(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f), W'_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \text{Hol}(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f), \mathbb{C}^{\overline{(k_1-1)}})$$

in the following way: Any element $f \in \text{Hol}(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f), W'_{\mathbb{C}})$ can uniquely be written in the following form

$$(1) \quad f(z, g) = \sum e_0^{r_2} e_1^{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_0^{r_n} e_1^{s_n} f_{r_2 s_2 \dots r_n s_n}(z, g),$$

where the sum is over all tuples $(r_2, s_2, \dots, r_n, s_n)$ with $r_j + s_j = k_j - 2$. Now take $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \subset G(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})^I$ and view it as a tuple of invertible matrices $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, with $\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Then for f as in (1) we define

$$(2) \quad \gamma \cdot f(z, g) := \frac{\det \gamma_1^{\frac{w+k_1-2}{2}}}{(cz+d)^{k_1}} \prod_{j=2}^n \det(\gamma_j)^{\frac{w-k_j}{2}} \cdot \sum (e_0 \gamma_2)^{r_2} (e_1 \gamma_2)^{s_2} \otimes \dots \otimes (e_0 \gamma_n)^{r_n} (e_1 \gamma_n)^{s_n} f_{r_2 s_2 \dots r_n s_n}(\gamma_1 z, \gamma g).$$

(iii) We define a $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action on $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes W'_{\mathbb{C}})$ via

$$(3) \quad f(z, g) \cdot g' := f(z, gg'), \quad \text{for } z \in X, g, g' \in G(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

(iv) Let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be open and compact. Then we say $f \in \text{Hol}(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f), W'_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a *quaternionic automorphic form of level K and weight k* if

$$\gamma \cdot f(z, g) = f(z, g) \quad \forall \gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad f(z, g) \cdot g' = f(z, g) \quad \forall g' \in K.$$

We write $QM_K^{(k)}$ for the \mathbb{C} -vector space of all quaternionic automorphic forms of level K and weight k and $QM^{(k)} = \varinjlim_K QM_K^{(k)}$.

Shimura Curves. We recall the definition and some basic facts about Shimura curves.

- $Z_s(G) := \text{Ker}(Z(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}})$, where $Z(G) = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$ is the center of G and on the A -valued points (with A a \mathbb{Q} -algebra) Nm is given by the usual norm $\text{Nm} : (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A)^\times \rightarrow A^\times$. In particular $Z_s(G)(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Ker}(\text{Nm} : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times)$.
- $G^c := G/Z_s(G)$. Thus $G^c(\mathbb{Q}) = F^\times / \text{Ker}(F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times) \cong \mathbb{Q}^\times$ which is discrete in $G^c(\mathbb{A}_f)$. $G^c(\mathbb{Q}) = B^\times / \text{Ker}(\text{Nm} : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times)$. Notice that $G^c(\mathbb{Q})$ has (as $G(\mathbb{Q})$) no quasi-unipotent elements, since F is totally real and B^\times is a division algebra.
- For $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ open compact, we denote by K^c its image in $G^c(\mathbb{A}_f)$.

Definition 2. We say that an open compact subset $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ is *small enough* if

$$\Gamma_g := \frac{gKg^{-1} \cap G(\mathbb{Q})_+}{gKg^{-1} \cap Z(G_+)(\mathbb{Q})} \text{ has no torsion } \forall g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$$

and

$$K^c \cap Z(G^c)(\mathbb{Q}) = \{1\} \quad (\Leftrightarrow K \cap Z(G)(\mathbb{Q}) = Z_s(G)(\mathbb{Q})).$$

We notice that $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ small enough exist and we have

$$(4) \quad \Gamma_g = gK^c g^{-1} \cap G^c(\mathbb{Q})_+, \quad \forall g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f) \text{ and } K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f) \text{ small enough.}$$

From now on $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ will always be an open compact subset, which is small enough.

We recall some facts from Stefan's notes [Ku08]

- The Shimura curve associated to (G, X, K) is defined by

$$M_K(\mathbb{C}) := M_K(G, X) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K),$$

where the action of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ on $(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K)$ is the natural one.

•

$$M_K(\mathbb{C}) \cong \coprod_{g \in G(\mathbb{Q})_+ \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_f)/K} \Gamma_g \backslash X^+.$$

- The spaces $\Gamma_g \backslash X^+$ are Riemann surfaces. Since Γ_g has no torsion, the action of Γ_g on X^+ is free. Hence $X^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_g \backslash X^+$ is the universal covering and $\pi_1(\Gamma_g \backslash X^+) = \Gamma_g$.
- $\Gamma_g \backslash X^+$ is compact.
- The inclusions $K' \subset K$ give natural maps $M_{K'}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_K(\mathbb{C})$, which are finite. We obtain a projective system $(M_K(\mathbb{C})_K)$ and the Shimura curve associated to (G, X) is then defined by

$$M(\mathbb{C}) := M(G, X) := \varprojlim_K M_K(\mathbb{C}).$$

It is a scheme over \mathbb{C} , with \mathbb{C} -valued points given by

$$M(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / \overline{Z(G)(\mathbb{Q})}),$$

where $\overline{Z(G)(\mathbb{Q})}$ is the closure of $Z(G)(\mathbb{Q})$ in $Z(\mathbb{A}_f)(\mathbb{Q})$ (see [Mi90]). We denote by M the canonical model over F (see [De79], [Mi90].)

Remark 3 (see [BaNe81]). Recall that for a ringed topological space (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) , on which a group Γ acts from the left, and \mathcal{F} an \mathcal{O}_Y -sheaf on Y , one says that Γ *acts on* \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{F} *is a* Γ -*sheaf* if for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ one has an isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \gamma_* \mathcal{F}$, which is compatible with the group structure. If \mathcal{F} is Γ -sheaf one obtains a sheaf on the quotient $\Gamma \backslash Y$ by taking Γ -invariants, \mathcal{F}^Γ . More concretely if $\pi : Y \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash Y$ is the quotient map, then \mathcal{F}^Γ is defined as follows

$$\Gamma \backslash Y \supset U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}(U))^\Gamma.$$

If Γ acts freely on Y , then

$$(\mathcal{F}^\Gamma)_{\pi(y)} = \mathcal{F}_y.$$

In particular \mathcal{F}^Γ is locally free and of finite rank if \mathcal{F} is. Furthermore if Γ acts freely on Y and \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are locally free sheaves of finite rank on Y , then

$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{G})^\Gamma = \mathcal{F}^\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \backslash Y}} \mathcal{G}^\Gamma, \quad (\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^n \mathcal{F})^\Gamma = (\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma \backslash Y}}^n \mathcal{F}^\Gamma).$$

The Eichler-Shimura Isomorphism. We give a description of quaternionic automorphic forms as sections of certain locally free sheaves on $M(\mathbb{C})$ and show that $QM^{(k)} \oplus \overline{QM^{(k)}}$ is the Hodge decomposition of a certain local system on $M(\mathbb{C})$. In fact there is a way to make sense of this even over the completion at some prime of a certain number field containing F . We give some hints towards this, see [Sa06] for details.

For the rest of this notes we fix a finite Galois extension L/F , which splits B , i.e. $B \otimes_F L \cong M_2(L)^I$. In particular $G_L \cong GL_{2,L}^I$ as algebraic groups.

We set

$$V := L^{\oplus 2}, \quad e_0 := (1, 0), e_1 := (0, 1) \in V^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_L(V, L).$$

Write $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathrm{Proj} \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1]$ and $z = \frac{x_0}{x_1}$ in any neighborhood with $x_1 \neq 0$. $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}$ via

$$(5) \quad g.f(x_0, x_1) = f(ax_0 + bx_1, cx_0 + dx_1), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Notice that the center of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts trivially. We obtain an action $GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V^\vee)$ via

$$(6) \quad g.(f \otimes e_j) = g.f \otimes e_j g, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

We have the following exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ -modules

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map on the left is given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(x_1) \otimes e_0 - \varphi(x_0) \otimes e_1$ and the map on the right by $1 \otimes e_j \mapsto x_j$. In any neighborhood U with $x_1 \neq 0$ we can identify

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{O}_U(-1) = \mathcal{O}_U \cdot (e_0 - ze_1) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes V^\vee.$$

With respect to the action defined in (6) $e_0 - ze_1$ behaves as follows ($g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$)

$$(9) \quad g.(e_0 - ze_1) = (e_0 g - (gz)e_1 g) = \frac{\det g}{cz + d}(e_0 - ze_1).$$

Set

$$(10) \quad W_j := \mathrm{Sym}_L^{k_j - 2}(V^\vee), \quad W := W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n.$$

Notice that $\{e_0^{r_1} e_1^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_0^{r_n} e_1^{s_n} \mid r_j + s_j = k_j - 2, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis for W .

Definition 4. We define a G_L^c -representation

$$(11) \quad \rho^{(k)} : G_L^c \longrightarrow Gl(W)$$

in the following way: compose the isomorphism

$$(12) \quad G_L \cong Gl(V)^I$$

with

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(k)} := \bigotimes_{j \in I} \left(\text{Sym}^{k_j - 2} \otimes \det^{\frac{w - k_j}{2}} \right) \circ \text{pr}_j : Gl(V)^I \rightarrow Gl(W),$$

where pr_j is the contragredient representation of the j -th projection $Gl(V)^I \rightarrow Gl(V)$. Explicitly:

$$(13) \quad \tilde{\rho}^{(k)}(g = (g_1, \dots, g_n))(e_0^{r_1} e_1^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_0^{r_n} e_1^{s_n}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \det(g_j^{-1})^{\frac{w - k_j}{2}} \right) (e_0 g_1^{-1})^{r_1} (e_1 g_1^{-1})^{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (e_0 g_n^{-1})^{r_n} (e_1 g_n^{-1})^{s_n}.$$

Notice that (12) composed with $\tilde{\rho}^{(k)}$ restricted to $Z(G_L) \subset G_L$ acts as $\text{Nm}_{F/Q}^{-(w-2)}$ and hence this operation factors to give (11).

Definition 5. Set

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k_1 - 2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}) \otimes W_{2, \mathbb{C}} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n, \mathbb{C}}.$$

We define the following action

$$R^{(k)} : G_{\mathbb{C}}^c \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1})$$

as the composition of $G_{\mathbb{C}} \cong Gl_2(\mathbb{C})^I$ with

$$\tilde{R}^{(k)} : Gl_2(\mathbb{C})^I \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1})$$

given by

$$\tilde{R}^{(k)}(g = (g_1, \dots, g_n))(f \otimes w) := (g_1^{-1} \cdot f) \otimes \tilde{\rho}^{(k)}(g)(w),$$

where $g_1^{-1} \cdot f$ is defined in (5) and $\tilde{\rho}^{(k)}(g)(w)$ is defined above. This composition factors to give $R^{(k)}$.

Definition 6. Set $\alpha := \frac{w - k_1}{2}$. The exact sequence (7) defines a filtration

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1} = F_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}^{\alpha} \supset F_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}^{\alpha+1} \supset \dots \supset F_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}^{\alpha+k_1-2} \supset 0$$

with

$$(14) \quad F_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}^{\alpha+p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}(-1)^{\otimes p} \cdot \text{Sym}^{k_1 - 2 - p}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}) \otimes W_{2, \mathbb{C}} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n, \mathbb{C}}$$

and

$$(15) \quad \frac{F_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}^{\alpha+p}}{F_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}^{\alpha+p+1}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}(-1)^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^1}(1)^{\otimes k_1 - 2 - p}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}) \otimes W_{2, \mathbb{C}} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n, \mathbb{C}}.$$

Definition 7. • For $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ small enough and $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ define

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g(K)}^{(k)} := (\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})|_{X^+}})^{\Gamma_g(K)} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_g(K) \setminus X^+,$$

where $\Gamma_g(K)$ acts via

$$\Gamma_g(K) \subset G^c(\mathbb{Q})_+ \subset G^c(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{R^{(k)}} \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}).$$

• Define

$$\mathcal{W}_K^{(k)} := \prod_{g \in G(\mathbb{Q})_+ \setminus G(\mathbb{A}_f)_+/K} j_{g*} \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g(K)}^{(k)},$$

where $j_g : \Gamma_g(K) \setminus X^+ \hookrightarrow M_K(\mathbb{C})$ is the natural inclusion.

• Define

$$\mathcal{W}^{(k)} = \varinjlim_K \pi_K^{-1} \mathcal{W}_K^{(k)},$$

where $\pi_K : M(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_K(\mathbb{C})$ is the projection.

• Define a $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action on $\mathcal{W}^{(k)}$ in the following way: For $a \in G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ we have $\Gamma_g(K) = \Gamma_{ga}(a^{-1}Ka)$. Thus

$$\Gamma_g(K) \setminus X^+ \cong \Gamma_{ga}(a^{-1}Ka) \setminus X^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g(K)}^{(k)} \cong \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_{ga}(a^{-1}Ka)}^{(k)}.$$

This induces the $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action (a sends an element from $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g(K)}^{(k)}$ via the second isomorphism to an element in $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_{ga}(a^{-1}Ka)}^{(k)}$).

• In the same way the trivial connection

$$d : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}^1$$

descends to give connections

$$\nabla_{\Gamma_g} : \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{\Gamma_g \setminus X^+}^1,$$

$$\nabla_K : \mathcal{W}_K^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_K^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M_K(\mathbb{C})}^1$$

and

$$\nabla : \mathcal{W}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M(\mathbb{C})}^1.$$

This last connection being compatible with the $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action.

• In the same way the filtration $F_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}}^{(k)}$ descends to give filtrations

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)} = F_{\Gamma_g}^{\alpha} \supset \dots \supset F_{\Gamma_g}^{\alpha+k_1-2} \supset 0,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_K^{(k)} = F_K^{\alpha} \supset \dots \supset F_K^{\alpha+k_1-2} \supset 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^{(k)} = F^{\alpha} \supset \dots \supset F^{\alpha+k_1-2} \supset 0.$$

This last being compatible with the $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action.

The last part of the filtration becomes an extra name:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)} := F^{\alpha+k_1-2} = \left((\mathcal{O}_{X^+}(e_0 - ze_1))^{\otimes k_1-2} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W_{2,\mathbb{C}} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n,\mathbb{C}} \right)^{\Gamma_g},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_K^{(k)} := F^{\alpha+k_1-2}, \quad \mathcal{V}^{(k)} := F^{\alpha+k_1-2}.$$

- Considering W as a constant sheaf on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ we define

$$\mathcal{P}_{L, \Gamma_g}^{(k)} := \left(W_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})|X_+} \right)^{\Gamma_g},$$

where Γ_g acts via

$$\Gamma_g \subset G^c(\mathbb{Q})_+ \subset G^c(L) \xrightarrow{\rho^{(k)}} Gl(W).$$

In the same way as above we obtain $\mathcal{P}_{L, K}^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_L^{(k)}$. We set

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} := \mathcal{P}_L^{(k)} \otimes_L \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Proposition 8. *Take $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ small enough and $\Gamma_g = \Gamma_g(K)$.*

- (i) *The sheaves $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)}$, $F_{\Gamma_g}^{\alpha+p}$, $p = 0, \dots, k_1 - 2$, are locally free on $\Gamma_g \setminus X_+$ and $\mathcal{P}_{L, \Gamma_g}^{(k)}$ is a local system.*

(ii)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} = (\mathcal{W}^{(k)})^{\nabla} = \text{Ker}(\nabla : \mathcal{W}^{(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M(\mathbb{C})}^1),$$

in particular $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{M(\mathbb{C})} = \mathcal{W}^{(k)}$.

- (iii) $\mathcal{P}_{L, \Gamma_g}^{(k)}$ is the local system attached to the (monodromy) representation

$$\pi_1(\Gamma_g \setminus X_+) = \Gamma_g \subset G^c(\mathbb{Q})_+ \rightarrow G^c(L) \rightarrow Gl(W).$$

- (iv) *For all primes $\lambda \in L$ there exists a lisse L_{λ} -sheaf $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, K, \acute{e}t}^{(k)}$ (with L_{λ} being the λ -adic completion of L) on the étale side $(M_{K, \mathbb{C}})_{\acute{e}t}$ such that*

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, K, \acute{e}t}^{(k)})^{an} = \mathcal{P}_{L, K}^{(k)} \otimes_L L_{\lambda}.$$

Proof. (i) follows because Γ_g acts freely on X_+ (see Remark 3). For (ii) and (iii) just unravel the definitions. Finally the representation in (iii) is continuous in the λ -adic topology on the right and the profinite topology on the left. Hence we can complete both sides in the respective topology to obtain a representation

$$\pi_1(\Gamma_g \setminus X_+)_{\acute{e}t} = \pi_1(\widehat{\Gamma_g \setminus X_+}) \rightarrow Gl(W \otimes_L L_{\lambda})$$

and this gives the desired lisse sheaf (see SGA 5 VI 1). \square

Theorem 9. *The lisse sheaves $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, K}^{(k)}$ live already on $(M_K)_{\acute{e}t}$ for all λ and $\mathcal{W}^{(k)}$, $F^{\alpha+p}$ and in particular $\mathcal{V}^{(k)}$ live already on $M_{\text{Spec } L}$.*

Proof. For the second part see [Mi90]. For the first part assume λ is a prime over l . Then $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, K}^{(k)}$ being a lisse sheaf means for all n there is a projective system of locally constant \mathbb{Z}/l^n -sheaves $\mathcal{P}_{n, K}^{(k)}$ on $(M_{K, \mathbb{C}})_{\acute{e}t}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, K}^{(k)} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{P}_{n, K}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} L_{\lambda}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{n, K}^{(k)}$ is locally constant it is already defined over $(M_K)_{\acute{e}t}$. \square

Proposition 10. *Denote by \mathcal{E}_K the sheaf of \mathbb{C} -valued C^{∞} -functions on $M_K(\mathbb{C})$. A subscript $(-)_{\mathcal{E}_K}$ will mean $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})}} \mathcal{E}_K$. Then*

- $\nabla F_K^p \subset F_K^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_{M_K(\mathbb{C})}^1$.
- $\mathcal{W}_{K, \mathcal{E}}^{(k)} = \bigoplus_{p+q=w-2} (\mathcal{W}_K^{(k)})^{p, q}$, with $(\mathcal{W}_K^{(k)})^{p, q} := F_{K, \mathcal{E}_K}^p \cap \overline{F_{K, \mathcal{E}_K}^q} \cong F_{\mathcal{E}_K}^p / F_{\mathcal{E}_K}^{p+1}$.
In particular $(\mathcal{W}_K^{(k)})^{p, q} = 0$ if $p \neq [\alpha, \alpha + k_1 - 2]$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and since the filtration only affects the first factor in

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k_1-2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}) \otimes W_{2,\mathbb{C}} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{n,\mathbb{C}},$$

it is enough to prove the corresponding statement for $\mathcal{W}' := \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}^{k_1-2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})$. Then by (14)

$$F^{p+q}(\mathcal{W}') = (e_0 - ze_1)^p \cdot \mathrm{Sym}^{k_1-2-p}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}).$$

Now take $f = (e_0 - ze_1)^p v \in F^{\alpha+p}(\mathcal{W}')$. Then

$$(16) \quad \nabla f = -p(e_0 - ze_1)^{p-1} e_1 v \otimes dz + (e_0 - ze_1)^p \nabla v \in F^{\alpha+p-1}(\mathcal{W}') \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1.$$

This gives (i). For (ii) notice that $(e_0 - ze_1)$ and $(e_0 - \bar{z}e_1)$ is a basis for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee}$. Thus

$$(17) \quad (e_0 - ze_1)^r (e_0 - \bar{z}e_1)^s, \quad \text{with } r \geq p \text{ and } r + s = k_1 - 2$$

is a basis for $F^{\alpha+p}(\mathcal{W}')$. Hence for $\alpha + p + \alpha + q = w - 2$, i.e. $p + q = k_1 - 2$ we have

$$F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+p} \cap \overline{F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+q}} = (e_0 - ze_1)^p (e_0 - \bar{z}e_1)^q \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

This gives

$$\mathcal{W}' = \bigoplus_{\alpha+p+\alpha+q=w-2} F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+p} \cap \overline{F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+q}}.$$

The isomorphism $F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+p} \cap \overline{F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+q}} \cong F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+p} / F_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}}^{\alpha+p+1}$ is clear. \square

We still have $G^c(\mathbb{C})$ acting on $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)}$ for all Γ_g . An element

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathrm{Gl}(\mathbb{R})_+ = \mathrm{Gl}_2(\mathbb{R})_+ \times \mathbb{H}^{\times} \times \dots \times \mathbb{H}^{\times}$$

defines a point $P_{\gamma} \in X_+$. If we identify X_+ with the upper half plane, then

$$P_{\gamma} = \gamma_1 \cdot i = \frac{ai + b}{ci + d}, \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case denote by \bar{P}_{γ} the image of P_{γ} in $\Gamma_g \setminus X_+$. If we identify X_+ with $\{gh(-)g^{-1} \mid g \in G(\mathbb{R})_+\}$, then

$$P_{\gamma} = h_{\gamma} := \gamma h(-) \gamma^{-1} : \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{R}).$$

We obtain an action of $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$ on the fiber $\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g, (\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^{(k)} = \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{P}_{\gamma}}} k(\bar{P}_{\gamma})$ via

$$(18) \quad \xi_{\gamma} := R_{(\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^{(k)} \circ \mathrm{nat} \circ h_{\gamma} : \mathbb{C}^{\times} = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{h_{\gamma}} G(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{nat}} G^c(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{R_{(\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^{(k)}} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g, (\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^{(k)}),$$

where nat denotes the natural map.

Proposition 11. *Denote*

$$W_{\gamma}^{p,q} := \{w \in \mathcal{W}_{\Gamma_g, (\bar{P}_{\gamma})} \mid \xi_{\gamma}(z)(w) = \frac{1}{z^p} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^q} w, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\}.$$

Then

$$W_{\gamma}^{p,q} = \begin{cases} F_{\Gamma_g, (\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^p / F_{\Gamma_g, (\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^{p+1} & \text{if } p + q = w - 2 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular $W_{\gamma}^{p,q} = 0$ if $p \notin [\alpha, \alpha + k_1 - 2]$ and $W_{\gamma}^{\alpha+k_1-2, \alpha} \cong \mathcal{V}_{\Gamma_g, (\bar{P}_{\gamma})}^{(k)}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the corresponding statement on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. We have

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}), P}^{(k)} \cong W_{\mathbb{C}} = W_{1, \mathbb{C}} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{n, \mathbb{C}}.$$

By definition of h , $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R})$ acts non-trivially only on $W_{1, \mathbb{C}} = \text{Sym}^{k_1-2}(V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})$. Thus it is enough to prove the corresponding statement for $\mathcal{W}' := \text{Sym}^{k_1-2}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\vee})$ and to assume $\gamma = \gamma_1$. Set

$$e_{0, \gamma} := (e_0 - ie_1)\gamma^{-1}, \quad e_{1, \gamma} := (e_0 + ie_1)\gamma^{-1}.$$

Then for $z = x + iy$

$$e_{0, \gamma} \cdot (\gamma h(z) \gamma^{-1}) = (e_0 - ie_1) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} = z e_{0, \gamma}$$

and

$$e_{1, \gamma} \cdot (\gamma h(z) \gamma^{-1}) = \bar{z} e_{1, \gamma}.$$

Thus for $r + s = k_1 - 2$

$$(19) \quad \xi(z) (e_{0, \gamma}^r e_{1, \gamma}^s) = (\det(h_{\gamma}(z)))^{-1} (e_{0, \gamma} h_{\gamma}(z)^{-1})^r (e_{1, \gamma} h_{\gamma}(z)^{-1})^s$$

$$(20) \quad = \frac{1}{|z|^{2\alpha}} \frac{1}{z^r \bar{z}^s} e_{0, \gamma}^r e_{1, \gamma}^s$$

$$(21) \quad = \frac{1}{z^{r+\alpha}} \frac{1}{\bar{z}^{s+\alpha}} e_{0, \gamma}^r e_{1, \gamma}^s.$$

This shows $W_{\gamma}^{p, q}$ is spanned by $e_{0, \gamma}^{p-\alpha} e_{1, \gamma}^{q-\alpha}$ if $p + q = w - 2$ and $p \in [\alpha, \alpha + k_1 - 2]$ and is 0 else. On the other hand $F_{\mathcal{E}}^p$ is spanned by (see (17))

$$(e_0 - ze_1)^r (e_0 - \bar{z}e_1)^s, \quad \text{with } r \geq p \text{ and } r + s = k_1 - 2.$$

Now the statement follows since in the fiber P_{γ} the vectors

$$(e_0 - ze_1)_{(P_{\gamma})} = (e_0 - (\gamma \cdot i)e_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (e_0 - \bar{z}e_1)_{(P_{\gamma})} = (e_0 + (\gamma \cdot i)e_1)$$

are multiples of $e_{0, \gamma}$ and $e_{1, \gamma}$ respectively, by (9). \square

Recall the following definition.

Definition 12. Let \mathcal{P} be a local system of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces on a smooth projective manifold S . A *variation of Hodge structures (VHS) of weight k* on \mathcal{P} is a filtration

$$\mathcal{P} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_S \supset \cdots \supset F^p \supset F^{p-1} \supset \cdots,$$

such that

- (i) $\nabla F^p \subset F^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_S^1$, where $\nabla : \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \Omega_S^1$ is the connection defined by the local system.
- (ii) $\mathcal{P} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}_S = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\mathcal{P})$, with $\mathcal{E}^{p, q}(\mathcal{P}) := F_{\mathcal{E}}^p \cap \overline{F_{\mathcal{E}}^q}$, $p + q = k$.

A VHS of weight k is thus a Hodge structure of weight k in each fiber $\mathcal{P}_{(s)}$, $s \in S$, varying holomorphically.

There is also the notion of a polarization of a VHS on \mathcal{P} , which we omit.

Theorem 13 (Deligne, see [Zu79] Thm (2.9)). *Let \mathcal{P} be a VHS of weight k as above, which admits a polarization. Then $H^i(S, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a Hodge structure of weight $k + i$ and there is a canonical decomposition*

$$H(S, \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^{p+q}(S, Gr_F^p \Omega_S(\mathcal{P})).$$

Remark 14. Proposition 10 shows that $\mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}$ is almost a VHS. The only missing thing is that $\mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}$ has no underlying local system of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces, since L is not real. We can fix this problem by simply considering $\mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}$ as \mathbb{R} -vector spaces, i.e. let $\sigma : \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$ be the natural map and consider $\sigma_* \mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}$. Then

$$\sigma_* \mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})} \cong \mathcal{W}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{W}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}} = \mathcal{W}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},$$

where we view $\mathcal{W}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{W}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})}$. This decomposition is induced by

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{O}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})}, \quad (1+i) \otimes f + (1-i) \otimes g \mapsto (f, \bar{g}).$$

We obtain a filtration

$$\text{Fil}_K^p := F_K^p \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = F_K^p \oplus \overline{F_K^p} \text{ on } \sigma_* \mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{M_K(\mathbb{C})},$$

which satisfies the analog statements of the Propositions 10 and 11. Thus $\sigma_* \mathcal{P}_{K,\mathbb{C}}^{(k)}$ is a VHS of weight $w - 2$. It follows from Proposition 11, that this VHS coincides with the one coming from the machinery of Shimura varieties (see [De79], [Mi90]). We use as a black box that there exists also a polarization of this VHS (see [De79], [Mi90]). (Probably one can describe this very concretely as in [BaNe81].)

Theorem 15 ([Sa06], Proof of Lemma 1). *There is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G(\mathbb{A}_f)]$ -modules*

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{P}^{(k)}) &\cong QM^{(k)} \oplus \overline{QM^{(k)}} \\ &\cong H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M(\mathbb{C})}^1) \oplus \overline{H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M(\mathbb{C})}^1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One easily checks $QM^{(k)} = H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega^1)$. Further by Theorem 13 and Remark 14 we have a canonical decomposition (in particular compatible with the $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action)

$$H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \sigma_* \mathcal{P}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=1} H^{p+q}(M(\mathbb{C}), \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}^p \Omega \cdot (\sigma_* \mathcal{P}^{(k)})).$$

On the other hand

$$H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \sigma_* \mathcal{P}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{P}^{(k)}) \oplus \overline{H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{P}^{(k)})}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &H^{p+q}(M(\mathbb{C}), \text{Gr}_{\text{Fil}}^p \Omega \cdot (\sigma_* \mathcal{P}^{(k)})) \\ &\cong H^{p+q}(M(\mathbb{C}), \text{Gr}_F^p \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})) \oplus \overline{H^{p+q}(M(\mathbb{C}), \text{Gr}_F^p \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})}. \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem now follows from the following Lemma: □

Lemma 16.

$$H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \text{Gr}_F^p \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega^1) & \text{if } p \in \{\alpha, \alpha + k_1 - 1\} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The filtration on $\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})$ looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}) : & \mathcal{W}^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \mathcal{W}^{(k)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega^1 \\
\parallel & \parallel & & \parallel \\
F^\alpha \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}) : & F^\alpha & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & F^{\alpha-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega^1 \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
F^{\alpha+1} \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}) : & F^{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & F^\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega^1 \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
F^{\alpha+k_1-2} \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}) : & F^{\alpha+k_1-2} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & F^{\alpha+k_1-3} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega^1 \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
F^{\alpha+k_1-1} \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}) : & 0 & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & F^{\alpha+k_1-2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega^1 \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
F^{\alpha+k_1} \Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}) : & 0 & & 0.
\end{array}$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Gr}_F^p(\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})) = 0$ for $p < \alpha$ and $p > \alpha + k_1 - 1$. Now assume $\alpha < p < \alpha + k_1 - 1$. Then

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^p \nabla : F^p / F^{p+1} \rightarrow F^{p-1} / F^p \otimes \Omega^1$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed it is enough to check this on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and then it follows from (16) that $\mathrm{Gr}_f^p(\nabla)$ is given by $(e_0 - ze_1)^p v \mapsto -p(e_0 - ze_1^{p-1})e_1 v \otimes dz \bmod F^p$, which is an isomorphism. Thus

$$H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Gr}_F^p(\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}))) = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha < p < \alpha + k_1 - 1.$$

If $p = \alpha + k_1 - 1$, then

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^p(\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})) = (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega^1)$$

and hence

$$H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Gr}_F^{\alpha+k_1-1}(\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}))) = H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega^1).$$

Finally for $p = \alpha$ we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^\alpha(\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)})) = (F^\alpha / F^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow 0)$$

and it follows from (15) that $F^\alpha / F^{\alpha+1} \cong (\mathcal{V}^{(k)})^\vee$. Thus

$$H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Gr}_F^\alpha(\Omega \cdot (\mathcal{P}^{(k)}))) \cong H^1(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)\vee}) \cong H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega^1)$$

the last isomorphism being Serre duality, which is also compatible with the $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ -action. This proves the Lemma and hence the Theorem. \square

Remark 17. Going attentively through the proof one sees that we obtain in fact

$$H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M(\mathbb{C})}^1) \cong \overline{H^0(M(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{V}^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M(\mathbb{C})}^1)}$$

and one can check that the isomorphism from Theorem 15 is induced by tensoring the following isomorphism with $\otimes_{L_\lambda} \mathbb{C}$

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(M_L, \mathcal{P}_\lambda^{(k)}) \cong (H^0(M_L, \mathcal{V}_L^{(k)} \otimes \Omega_{M_L}^1) \otimes_L L_\lambda)^{\oplus 2},$$

where λ is any prime in L and $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{V}_L^{(k)}$ are the sheaves given by Theorem 9, see [Sa06, Proof of Lemma 1] for details.

REFERENCES

- [BaNe81] P. Báyer, J. Neukirch, On automorphic forms and Hodge theory. *Math. Ann.* 257, 137-155, 1981.
- [Bo05] T. van den Bogaart, Construction of Galois representations in the cohomology of Shimura curves. http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~edix/ics/AG_Fall_2005/notes/bogaart.pdf, 2005.
- [De79] P. Deligne, Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques. *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 247–289, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [De71] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 40 (1971), 5–57.
- [Ku08] S. Kukulies, Shimura curves II. Talk at the Arithmetic Geometry Seminar in Essen, June 2008. <http://www.uni-due.de/~hx0037/QuatAlg/Kukulies.pdf>
- [Mi90] J. S. Milne, Canonical models of (mixed) Shimura varieties and automorphic vector bundles. *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I* (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), 283–414, *Perspect. Math.*, 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990. <http://www.jmilne.org/math/articles/1990aT.pdf>
- [Sa06] T. Saito, Hilbert Modular Forms and p-adic Hodge Theory. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0612077>, 2006.
- [Wi08] G. Wiese, Galois Representation Attached to a Hilbert Modular Form. Talk at the Arithmetic Geometry Seminar in Essen, July 2008. <http://www.uni-due.de/~hx0037/QuatAlg/Wiese.pdf>
- [Zu79] S. Zucker, Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients. L_2 cohomology in the Poincaré metric. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 109 (1979), no. 3, 415–476.

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, CAMPUS ESSEN, FB MATHEMATIK, 45117 ESSEN, GERMANY
E-mail address: kay.ruelling@uni-due.de